



Discovery of a New Gamma-Ray Binary: IFGL J1018.6-5856

Robin Corbet

(UMBC/NASA GSFC)

on behalf of the Fermi-LAT collaboration, &

M.J. Coe, P.G. Edwards, M.D. Filipovic,

J.L. Payne, J. Stevens, M.A.P. Torres

What is a Gamma-ray Binary?





- A gamma-ray binary is:
 - A binary star system containing a neutron star or black hole
 - Detectable gamma-ray flux (possibly dominating total flux)
 - The gamma-ray emission is caused by an <u>interaction</u> between the two binary components.
 - The "conventional" models for γ -ray binaries are:
 - accreting microquasar with relativistic jets
 - pulsar interacting with wind of O or B type companion

Gamma-ray Binaries are Rare

The LAT had previously detected only:

- Cygnus X-3: transient *microquasar*, Wolf-Rayet. 4.8 hr orbital period.
- LS I +61 303: Be star, suspected pulsar companion. 26.5 day period.
- PSR B1259-63: Be star, definite pulsar companion. 3.4 year period.
- LS 5039: O6.5V((f)) star, suspected pulsar companion. 3.9 days.
 - These were all previously known, or at least suspected, gamma-ray binaries.

The Hunt for New Binaries

- Known gamma-ray binaries all show gamma-ray modulation on their orbital periods.
- New binaries are expected to be found from the detection of periodic variability with the LAT.
- However, even with the improved sensitivity of the LAT, gamma-ray count rates are still fairly low.

Need to obtain the high signal-to-noise light curves and make sensitive period searches.

Optimizing Light Curves

- There are two basic ways to make LAT light curves:
 - Maximum likelihood fitting
 - Aperture photometry
- Likelihood fitting is slow, and is difficult/impossible if not many photons are present in a time bin.
- Aperture photometry is non-optimal. e.g. ignores photons outside the aperture.
- Instead, use the "weighted photon/infinite aperture" technique advocated by M. Kerr.
 - Can give a significant increase of S/N

Optimizing Power Spectra

- To search for periodic modulation, use power spectra.
- We want ability to search for short orbital periods, like Cyg X-3's
 4.8 hour period.
 - Short time bins are needed (e.g. < 1ks). Shorter than the LAT sky survey period of ~3 hours.
 - This gives big variations in exposure.
 - Use "exposure weighting" of each data point's contribution to the power spectrum.


The Search

- Made weighted-photon light curves for all 1,451 IFGL sources:
 - 3 degree radius aperture
 - 600 s time bins (barycenter corrected)
 - 100 MeV to 200 GeV
 - 752 days long (2.06 years) for <u>initial</u> search.
- Calculated exposure-weighted power spectra for all sources: 0.05 to 752 days.
- Easily detected LS I +61 303 and LS 5039, but not Cyg X-3. (To detect Cyg X-3 must only use data from active states.)
- And a candidate for a new binary...

Part 2: Finding a Gamma-ray Binary: Multiwavelength Observations of IFGL J1018.6-5856

- IFGL J1018.6-5856 is one of the brighter Fermi sources.
- LAT spectrum similar to a pulsar but no pulsations seen.


A 16.6 Day Period in 1FGL J1018.6-5856




Probability of peak at 16.6 days arising by chance is $< 10^{-7}$. Second, and possibly higher, harmonics of this period are also seen. Modulation at 16.6 days is <u>not</u> seen in <u>any</u> other Fermi source.


Flux/Spectral variability on 16.6 day period

- Gamma-ray spectrum is also modulated on the 16.6 day period. (Harder when bright.)
- Qualitatively similar to LS 5039 (3.9 day period), but not LS I +61 303.
- Except... LS 5039 is softer when bright.





X-ray/Optical Counterpart (i)




Swift XRT (X-ray)

Swift UVOT (UV/optial)

Preliminary


A prominent X-ray source is present at the edge of the LAT error circle.

Large X-ray variability (Swift XRT)



- Different colors (top panel) show X-ray data from different 16.6 day cycles.
- Flare-like behavior near phase 0, coinciding with gamma-ray maximum.
- X-ray modulation also has a quasi-sinusoidal component with peak at phase ~0.4


Optical/X-ray Counterpart (ii)



DSS red image: Swift position coincides with bright (B~I3, R~I2) star.

Optical Spectrum


- H, He I/II lines indicate early spectral type.
- He II 4686Å absorption ⇒ main sequence.
- He II/I ratio \Rightarrow ~06
- NIII emission \Rightarrow O6V((f)).
- Spectral type is <u>almost</u> <u>identical</u> to LS 5039.



SAAO 1.9m telescope

Interstellar absorption lines \Rightarrow E(B-V) ~1.25. V ~12.6 (ASAS Catalogue) Distance ~5 kpc (±~2kpc)

And a spatially coincident, variable radio source!




(ATCA Radio data)

The radio flux appears to be modulated on the orbital period. But, no increase at phase 0.

Radio flux may be following sine wave component of X-ray flux.

TeV Emission from the Vicinity of IFGL J1018.6-5856


- H.E.S.S. (de Ona Wilhelmi et al., 2010) reported a TeV source in this region.
- The positions are consistent, but it's not certain the HESS source is associated with IFGL J1018.6-5856.
- TeV emission <u>is</u> seen (at least sometimes) from LS 5039 and LS I +61 303,
- Is this the TeV counterpart of IFGL J1018.6??



Summary (Part 1/2)

- IFGL J1018.6-5856 is a gamma-ray binary (similar to LS 5039):
 - LAT light curve shows very significant modulation at 16.6 days.
 - LAT spectrum is cut-off power law, hardness varies on 16.6 day period, similar to LS 5039.
 - There are X-ray, optical, and radio counterparts:
 - Optical counterpart ~O6V((f)), just like LS 5039.
 - X-ray counterpart is highly variable (like LS sources). Orbital phase dependent.
 (Weird light curve!)
 - The radio counterpart is variable (more like LS I +61 303?).
- The light curves are hard to understand within standard models –
 interpretation (and data collection) continues...

Summary (Part 2/2): Prospects for More Discoveries...



So far, we've only found found periods in the very brightest sources.

With additional data, we can investigate more of the main body of the IFGL source "iceberg".